論文の内容の要旨

論文題目 Development of New Reactions Promoted by the Rare-Earth Metal Complexes

(希土類金属錯体を活用した新規反応の開発)

氏 名 戸﨑 慎也

[1] Dynamic Ligand Exchange of the Rare-Earth Metal Complex

Scheme 1. Dynamic Ligand Exchange of the Rare-Earth Complex and Strategy for One-Pot Sequential Process



On the basis of the nature of the rare-earth metal complexes, such as moderate Lewis acidity, multifunctionality, large coordination numbers, and fast ligand exchange ability, I anticipated that subsequent

addition of other reagents would alter the structure and function of the rare-earth complex in situ through dynamic ligand exchange to promote different reactions successively. In this regard, the rare-earth–BINOL complex appeared to be a suitable catalyst. Our group reported a general and highly epoxidation α,β -unsaturated simple enantioselective of amides 2 catalyzed by the Sm-(S)-BINOL-Ph₃As=O complex 1, prepared from Sm(OⁱPr)₃, (S)-BINOL, and Ph₃As=O in a ratio of 1:1:1.¹ The highly enantioenriched α,β -epoxy amides **3** (up to >99% ee), in particular α,β -epoxy morpholinyl amides,^{2,3} are versatile intermediates because a ring-opening of the epoxide and a modification of the amide moiety provide efficient access to useful chiral building blocks. I assumed that the subsequent addition of another reagent (R₃Si-Nu) after the asymmetric epoxidation would generate another highly reactive complex (X_2 Sm-Nu) to promote the catalytic epoxide-opening in one reaction vessel (Scheme 1).

Initial investigation began with the ring-opening reaction of α , β -epoxy amide (Table 1). As expected, treatment of α , β -epoxy amide **3a** with Me₃SiN₃ in the presence of 5 mol % of Sm(O^{*i*}Pr)₃ led to a clean

epoxide-opening within 1 h at room temperature, **Table 1.** Regioselective Ring-Opening of α,β -Epoxy Amide affording the corresponding anti- β -azido- α -hydroxyamide **4a** in 99% yield (entry 1). Reduced catalyst loading (0.2 mol %) was sufficient (99%; entry 2). The use of 5 mol % of the (S)-Sm complex 1 also completed the reaction (entry 3). In contrast, the reaction with 10 mol % of Sm(OTf)₃, a much stronger Lewis acid, proceeded sluggishly to give 4a in 21% yield after 24 h. (entry 4).

Encouraged by the above results, I examined the extension to a one-pot sequential process. After completion of the catalytic asymmetric epoxidation of 2a in the presence of 5 mol % of the (S)-Sm complex 1, Me₃SiN₃ was directly added to the reaction mixture. The epoxide-opening proceeded smoothly without adverse effects (99%, 99% ee; Table 2, entry 1). As summarized in Table 2, the present one-pot sequential process had broad substrate generality, and especially noteworthy was the complete regioselectivity of the β -aliphatic substrates (entries 8-12).

Having established the above new one-pot sequential process, the utility was demonstrated by short syntheses of the side chain of the anticancer drug, taxol and a novel cytokine modulator, (-)-cytoxazone (Scheme 2).

Mechanistic Study: I performed spectroscopic experiments to gain precise information of the anticipated rare-earth azide complex, which has never been characterized. When Sm(O'Pr)₃ (1 mol equiv)

| Dh/ | | ca Me | talyst (x m ₃ SiN ₃ (2.0 | ol %) equiv) | desilyla | ation | ⊳h N | | N_Me |
|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | י ר | Me | THF, rt | | | - | | ŌН | Me |
| | 3a ['] | vic | | | | | | 4a | - |
| | entry | cat | alyst | x (m | ol %) t | ime (h) |) yie | eld (%) |) |
| | 1 | Sm(0 | ⊃ [′] Pr)₃ | ł | 5 | 1 | | 99 | |
| | 2 | Sm(0 | ⊃ ⁱ Pr) ₃ | 0 | 2 | 2 | | 97 | |
| | 3 | (S)-Sm c | complex 1 | ę | 5 | 1 | | 99 | |
| | 4 | Sm(| OTf) ₃ | 1 | 0 | 24 | | 21 | |
| Tab | le 2. One | -Pot Seg | uential Pro | cess | | | | | |
| | | (S) | -Sm compl | ex 1 | | | | | |
| | ö | (0) | (x mol %) | | | | Ņ | l ₃ Ö | |
| -1/ | \sim | ,_R ³ TE | BHP in dec | ane Me | ≥₃SiN₃ | | | \checkmark | |
| R' | Ĭ, | N | (1.2 equiv |) (2.0 | equiv | <u>) H</u> + | R' ц | | |
| | R∸ 2a-k | R TH | ⁼ , MS 4A, ı | t, y h | z h | | | 0 R− 4a-k | R. |
| _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | subs | trate | cata | alvst | time v | /ield | ee | <u> </u> |
| entr | y R ¹ | subs R | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ | cata (x m | alyst ol %) (| time y/z h) | /ield (%) | ее (%) ^{рі} | roduct |
| entr 1 | y R ¹ Ph | subsi R H | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ | cata (x m | alyst ol %) (5 | time y/z h) 12/1 | /ield (%) 99 | ее (%) ^{рі} 99 | roduct 4a |
| <u>entr</u> 1 2 | <u>y R¹</u> Ph Ph | subsi R H H | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ | cata (x m | alyst ol %) (5 2 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 | /ield (%) 99 70 | ee (%) pi 99 99 | roduct 4a 4a |
| <u>entr</u> 1 2 3 | y R ¹ Ph Ph Ph Ph | subsi R H H H | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ morpho | _ cata (x m | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 | /ield (%) 99 70 99 | ee (%)pr 99 99 99 | roduct 4a 4a 4b |
| <u>entr</u> 1 2 3 4 | y R ¹ Ph Ph Ph 4-MeO- | subsi R H H C ₆ H ₄ - H | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ morpho morpho | _ cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 | /ield (%) 99 70 99 99 97 | ee (%)pr 99 99 99 99 99 | 4a 4a 4b 4c |
| <u>entr</u> 1 2 3 4 5 | y R ¹ Ph Ph Ph 4-MeO 4-F-C ₆ F | subsi R H H C ₆ H ₄ - H | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ morpho morpho NMe ₂ | _ cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 5 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 12/1 11/1 | vield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 | ee (%)pi 99 99 99 99 99 >99 | roduct 4a 4a 4b 4c 4d |
| <u>entr</u> 1 2 3 4 5 6 | y R ¹ Ph Ph 4-MeO- 4-F-C ₆ H 2-furyl | subsi R H H C ₆ H ₄ - H H ₄ - H | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ morpho morpho NMe ₂ NMe ₂ | _ cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 5 10 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 11/1 11/0.5 | vield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 45 ^a | ee (%) pr 99 99 99 99 99 99 >99 >99 | 4a 4a 4b 4c 4d 4e |
| entr 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | y R ¹ Ph Ph 4-MeO 4-F-C ₆ H 2-furyl Ph | subsi R H H C ₆ H ₄ - H I ₄ - H H | trate 2 NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ morpho morpho NMe ₂ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ | _ cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 10 10 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 11/1 11/0.5 13/8 | yield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 45 ^a 83 | ee (%) pi 99 99 99 99 99 99 >99 99 99 | 4a 4a 4b 4c 4d 4e 4f |
| entr 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | y R ¹ Ph Ph 4-MeO- 4-F-C ₆ H 2-furyl Ph -(C | subsi R H H C ₆ H ₄ - H H ₄ - H H H H2)3- | trate 2 NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ NMe ₂ morpho morpho NMe ₂ NMe ₂ NHe ₂ NHMe NHBn | cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 10 10 10 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 11/1 11/0.5 13/8 12/5 | /ield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 45 ^a 83 97 | ee pr 99 99 99 99 99 99 >99 >99 99 99 99 | 4a 4a 4b 4c 4d 4c 4d 4e 4f 4g |
| entr 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | y R ¹ Ph Ph 4-MeO- 4-F-C ₆ H 2-furyl Ph -(C -(C | <u>subs</u> <u>R</u> H H C ₆ H ₄ - H H ₄ - H H KH ₂) ₃ - KH ₂) ₄ - | trate ² NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ MMe ₂ morpho MMe ₂ NMe ₂ NHMe NHBn NHBn | cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 10 10 10 10 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 11/1 11/0.5 13/8 12/5 13/1 | yield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 45 ^a 83 97 86 | ee (%)pi 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 96 99 | roduct 4a 4b 4c 4d 4c 4d 4g 4g 4h |
| entr 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | y R ¹ Ph Ph 4-MeO 4-F-C ₆ H 2-furyl Ph -(C -(C Ph(CH ₂ | <u>subs</u> <u>R</u> H H C ₆ H₄- H H₄- H H H2)₃- CH ₂)ȝ- N ₂) ₂ - H | trate 2 NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ MMe ₂ morpho MMe ₂ NMe ₂ NHMe NHBn NHBn NMe ₂ | cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 10 10 10 10 5 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 11/1 11/0.5 13/8 12/5 13/1 6/12 | yield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 45 ^a 83 97 86 84 | ee (%) pi 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 96 99 98 | roduct 4a 4b 4c 4d 4c 4d 4e 4f 4g 4h 4i |
| entr 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | y R ¹ Ph Ph 4-MeO- 4-F-C ₆ H 2-furyl Ph -(C -(C Ph(CH ₂ Ph(CH ₂ | subsi R H C ₆ H ₄ - H H C ₆ H ₄ - H H H CH ₂) ₃ - CH ₂) ₄ - H CH ₂) ₄ - H CH ₂) ₄ - H | trate 2 NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ morpho morpho NMe ₂ NMe ₂ NHMe NHBn NHBn NHBn NMe ₂ morpho | cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 5 10 10 10 5 5 5 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 11/1 11/0.5 13/8 12/5 13/1 6/12 5/12 | vield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 45 ^a 83 97 86 84 92 | ee (%) pi 99 99 99 99 >99 99 99 96 99 98 98 | roduct 4a 4b 4c 4d 4c 4d 4g 4f 4g 4h 4i 4j |
| entr 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | y R ¹ Ph Ph 4-MeO- 4-F-C ₆ H 2-furyl Ph -(C -(C Ph(CH ₂ Ph(CH ₂ cyclohes | subsi R H C ₆ H ₄ - H H C ₆ H ₄ - H H H ₂) ₃ - H ₂) ₃ - H ₂) ₄ - H XH ₂) ₄ - H XH ₂) ₄ - H XH ₂) ₄ - H XH ₂) ₄ - H | trate 2 NR ³ R ⁴ NMe ₂ morpho morpho NMe ₂ NHe NHBn NHBn NHBn NMe ₂ morpho NMe ₂ | cata (x m linyl linyl | alyst ol %) (5 2 5 5 5 10 10 10 5 5 10 | time y y/z h) 12/1 15/2 11/1 12/1 11/1 11/0.5 13/8 12/5 13/1 6/12 5/12 6/16 | vield (%) 99 70 99 97 98 45 ^a 83 97 86 84 92 75 | ee (%) pr 99 99 99 99 >99 99 99 96 99 98 98 98 98 | roduct 4a 4b 4c 4d 4c 4d 4g 4f 4g 4h 4i 4j 4k |



Reagents and Conditions: (a) BzCl, Et₃N, cat. DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 98%; (b) cat. Pd-C, H₂ (1 atm), EtOAc, rt, 96%; (c) SOCl₂, CHCl₃, $r_{1}^{(1)}$ (d) 1 M AaOH aq., EtOH, 60 °C, 70% (2 steps); (e) M₂3CtCH₂, Et₂O-MeOH, rt; (f) 1 N HCl aq., MeOH, reflux, 89% (2 steps); (g) cat. Pd-C, Boc₂O, H₂ (1 atm), EtOAc, rt, 99%; (h) LiAlH₄, THF, -40 to 0 °C; (i) NaBH₄, MeOH-THF, rt; (j) NaH, THF, rt, 58% (3 steps)

| and Me ₃ SiN ₃ (5 mol equiv) were mixed in THF- d_8 , the generation of Me ₃ SiO ^{<i>i</i>} Pr was observed on ¹ H and |
|---|
| ¹³ C NMR spectra, suggesting that a ligand exchange occurs from the isopropoxide to the azide on the |
| samarium metal. Next, I measured in situ IR spectra. When Me ₃ SiN ₃ (20 mol equiv) was treated with |
| $Sm(O^{i}Pr)_{3}$ (1 mol equiv) in THF, a new peak appeared around 2100 cm ⁻¹ on in situ IR spectra (Figure 1). |
| Treatment of Me ₃ SiN ₃ with the (S)-Sm complex 1 instead of $Sm(O^{i}Pr)_{3}$ gave similar spectra. DFT |
| calculation (B3LYP/LanL2DZ level) suggested that $Sm(N_3)_3$ has an absorption at 2093 cm ⁻¹ (N=N) |
| stretch). On the other hand, there were no new peaks observed when treated with Sm(OTf) ₃ in THF. |
| These results indicate that $Sm(O^{i}Pr)_{3}$ or the (S)-Sm complex 1 works in the epoxide-opening reaction, not |
| |

simply as a Lewis acid, but as the active azidation reagent by formation of the highly reactive samarium azide complex. This is the first investigation of the physical property of the rare-earth azide complex.

In stark contrast to the success using α,β -epoxy amides, α,β -epoxy ketones and α,β -epoxy esters remained almost unchanged under the reaction conditions shown in Table 1. These facts suggested that the Lewis basicity of the carbonyl moiety has a key role in the epoxide-opening reaction. To investigate the origin of the dramatic difference in reactivity, I performed the ring-opening reaction of α,β -epoxy anilides **9a–e**, where the Lewis basicity of the carbonyl moiety



was tuned by a para-substituent (X) on the benzene ring (Scheme 3, Step B). As a result, the initial rate (v) of Step B increased as the electron-donating ability of X increased. Remarkably, a similar tendency was observed in the initial rate of the asymmetric epoxidation (Step A). These results suggested that more Lewis-basic amide carbonyl coordinates to the samarium more efficiently and enhances the nucleophilicity of the active samarium–nucleophile complex. In the asymmetric epoxidation of α , β -unsaturated simple amides, the enhancement would be effective enough to overwhelm the potentially low reactivity that derives from the high LUMO energy level, thus achieving the high reactivity.

Figure 1. In Situ IR Spectra

Exploring other nucleophiles for the sequential process revealed that the use of PhSSiMe₃ or PhSH was effective (Scheme 4). Samarium thiolate should be generated through dynamic ligand exchange and act as the active species.



I developed a mild and efficient one-pot sequential catalytic asymmetric epoxidation-regioselective epoxide-opening process promoted by the Sm–BINOL–Ph₃As=O complex. The key to the success was the in situ generation of the highly reactive samarium–nucleophile complex through dynamic ligand exchange.⁴

[2] Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Tertiary Nitroaldols

The enantioselective construction of tetrasubstituted carbon centers represents an attractive area in synthetic organic chemistry because they are ubiquitous motif in pharmaceuticals and biologically significant molecules. Catalytic asymmetric Henry reaction (nitroaldol reaction) of ketones would lead to chiral tertiary nitroaldols, which are very useful intermediates. There are, however, no reports on general asymmetric synthesis of tertiary nitroaldols, and very few methodologies even for the racemic version. The difficulty presumably arises from the attenuated reactivity of simple ketones and a strong tendency toward retro-Henry reaction, which makes this subject quite challenging.

In the early 1990s, our group reported the first example of the catalytic asymmetric Henry reaction of aldehydes promoted by the heterobimetallic LaLi₃tris(binaphthoxide) complex (LLB). To address the above-mentioned issue, I initially tested LLB for the Henry reaction of ketone **12**. Notably, the reaction proceeded at -40 °C in the presence of 5 mol % of (*R*)-LLB, affording the corresponding tertiary nitroaldol (*S*)-13 with 89% ee although the catalytic efficiency was unsatisfactory (20%; Scheme 5, eq 1). Assuming that the low chemical yield was due to fast retro-Henry reaction, I turned my attention to kinetic resolution of racemic **13**, expecting that (*R*)-LLB would preferentially convert the matched enantiomer (*S*)-13 into **12** and leave the mismatched enantiomer (*R*)-13 unchanged. As a result, the retro-Henry reaction of (±)-13 proceeded at -40 °C in the presence of catalytic (*R*)-LLB, giving (*R*)-13 with 82% ee at 51% conversion. Raising temperature to -20 °C increased the reaction rate (eq 2). Further optimization of the reaction conditions and examination of substrate scope are currently in progress.



[References]

(1) Nemoto, T.; Kakei, H.; Gnanadesikan, V.; Tosaki, S.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 14544.

- (2) Tosaki, S.; Nemoto, T.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 495.
- (3) Tosaki, S.; Horiuchi, Y.; Nemoto, T.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. Chem. -Eur. J. 2004, 10, 1527.
- (4) Tosaki, S.; Tsuji, R.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2147.